

Southern California Edison
***WSD-001 – Resolution WSD-001 to Establish Procedures for the Wildfire Safety Division's
Review of 2020 Wildfire Mitigation Plans Pursuant to PUC Sections 8386 and 8386.3***

DATA REQUEST SET W S D - S C E - 0 0 2

To: WSD
Prepared by: BILL KOTTEAKOS
Job Title: Senior Manager, Compliance
Received Date: 3/5/2020

Response Date: 3/10/2020

Question 070 (SCE-43895-I-385):

A. Item Index [For CPUC tracking purposes. Please reference this item index with the response provided.]

SCE-43895-I-385

B. Request Type

Request for additional specificity or clarification regarding information submitted in WMP or maturity survey

C. Relevant section of WMP (if applicable)

5.3.5

D. Relevant question in Maturity Survey (if applicable)

NA

E. Relevant meeting or call (if applicable)

NA

F. Specific Data request

In section 5.3.5.4 on page 5-99, SCE states that “Red flag warnings and conditions do not typically drive additional vegetation scope, as the impacted locations are typically too vast for a targeted response to be practical or of value.” Are there instances in which SCE would perform accelerated vegetation management on already known vegetation hazards to prepare for or reduce the scope of a PSPS event? Why or why not?

Response to Question 070 (SCE-43895-I-385):

No, SCE does not accelerate vegetation management activities to prepare for or reduce the scope of a PSPS event. SCE has proper mitigation timelines in place to eliminate known vegetation hazards regardless of whether a PSPS event is pending or not. The inputs in determining a PSPS event are indicators of the total health of the circuit, of which vegetation is one component. Therefore, the potential vegetation scope is not something that lends itself to quick mitigation in the time leading up to a PSPS event.